

TNO report



www.tno.nl

T +31 15 269 69 00
F +31 15 262 07 66
info-lenT@tno.nl

CAPRICE-D2-4 (EU-contract: 038974)

PP/PE membrane contactors for CO2

Date	2008.11.04
Author(s)	Johannes de Bruin
Assignor	Earl Goetheer, Jan Hopman
Project number	EC-contract: 038794 (TNO-033.13825)
Classification report	Confidential
Title	
Abstract	
Report text	
Appendices	
Number of pages	18 (incl. appendices)
Number of appendices	1

All rights reserved. No part of this report may be reproduced and/or published in any form by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without the previous written permission from TNO.

All information which is classified according to Dutch regulations shall be treated by the recipient in the same way as classified information of corresponding value in his own country. No part of this information will be disclosed to any third party.

In case this report was drafted on instructions, the rights and obligations of contracting parties are subject to either the Standard Conditions for Research Instructions given to TNO, or the relevant agreement concluded between the contracting parties. Submitting the report for inspection to parties who have a direct interest is permitted.

© 2008 TNO

Summary

One of the main advantages of the use of membrane contactors in CO₂ absorption from flue gasses is that besides operational aspects also thermodynamical aspects can be applied to optimize to CO₂ capture process. In order to realize the benefits of using membrane contactors, a proper selection of the membrane has to be made. Operational aspects that are to be considered are stability (for example: critical entry or breakthrough pressure and chemical and thermal stability), commercial availability, costs and environmental impact. On the other hand, an important thermodynamical aspect is the mass transfer performance of the membrane.

To realize the potential of membrane contactors, a first selection based on the commercial availability of hydrophobic membranes has been made. The main focus is to use relatively cheap polymeric material. The polyolefins polypropylene and polyethylene are compared with PTFE type material. Of importance to note is that the membranes tested will hardly contribute to the overall resistance in a CO₂ membrane gas absorption process.

It seems that the commercial availability of flat sheet membranes is much better, as compared to the availability of hollow fiber membranes. As another selection criteria, different membranes have been tested in terms of non absorptive flue gas tests to study the sensitivity to condensation in the membrane modules or in de gas passage pipe lines. A large amount of condensed water vapour can cause a decrease in the gas flow rates and an increase in the pressure drop over the modules. From the results obtained from the non absorptive flue gas tests it can be concluded that flat sheet membrane modules are less sensitive to condensation and pollution, as compared to hollow fiber modules

Different hydrophobic commercial available flat sheet membranes were selected. The membranes were tested for their critical entry pressure and mass transfer performance. One membrane, which showed good performance for both performances, was chosen to be used for scaling up. Compared to a single A4 membrane sheet a twentyfold bigger multilayer membrane module leads to a small decrease of the overall mass transfer of the module, this is caused by less optimal flow characteristics inside the modules. Nevertheless the specific surface area is higher, as a result of the fact that the membrane could be build more compactly.

Membrane gas absorption modules offer low investments costs, are easy to upscale and does have long term stability, therefore MGA modules does have a high potential as alternatives for traditional packed column absorbers.

The rest of this document is project confidential.